

WEVOSYS medical technology GmbH

> Am Ellersgraben 24 96148 Baunach Germany

Tel.: +49-(0)9544-98588-0 Fax: +49-(0)9544-98588-11

www.wevosys.com

Voice Protocol norms

The norms in Voice Protocol are color coded (green is normal to red - pathological) - the exception is on the "Spoken Text" screen where red represents how frequently they are recorded at that frequency and loudness. Red is more often and green is less often. This helps you to see at a glance their usual pitch and loudness in running speech.

Most of the screens in Voice Protocol are simplified adaptations of screens already used in lingWAVES Voice Diagnostic Centre so the norms used are the same.

The exception is screen 1 which is new. Here are the norms used for each screen. They are also colour coded and marked in the graphs.

Screen 1: s/z ratio, MPT (Maximum Phonation Time)

95% of people who have some difficulty affecting the movement of their vocal folds have an s/z ratio of greater than 1.40. Consequently, a ratio of 1.4 or above may indicate a degree of vocal fold dysfunction.

Norms for sustaining [s:]

Age range (years) Duration (seconds)

•	7-10	8
•	11-15	12
•	16 + (women)	15
•	16 + (men)	20

Eckel, F.C. and Boone, D.R. (1981) 'The S/Z ratio as an indicator of laryngeal pathology' Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders 46, 2, 147-9.

Maximum Phonation Time

MPT - adult females sustain phonation of "ah" from 15 to 25 seconds; while males range from 25 to 35 seconds.

Mean MPT (in sec)	child (7-10 years)	teenager (11-15 years)	adult (16-64 years)	senior (65+ years)
male	8	21	26	15
female	8	19	21	14

Mendes Tavares EL1, Brasolotto, Rodrigues, Benito Pessin, Garcia Martins 'Maximum phonation time and s/z ratio in a large child cohort.' J Voice. 2012 Sep;26(5):675.e1-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jvoice.2012.03.001. Epub 2012 Jun 20.

Ray D. Kent, Jane F. Kent, and John C. Rosenbek 'Maximum Performance Tests of Speech Production', Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, November 1987, Vol. 52, 367-387. doi:10.1044/jshd.5204.367

Colton RH, Casper JK, Leonard R. 'Understanding voice problems, a physiological perspective for diagnosis and treatment.', Baltimore, Philadelphia: Lippincott Wilkins: 2006: 498

Screen 2: F0 / pitch, loudness, glide, voice quality, jitter, shimmer, irregularity, noise (GNE)

The jitter, shimmer, irregularity and noise (GNE) calculations and graph display are the same as that used for Vospector in other lingWAVES sets.

Jitter and Shimmer calculations are different in every voice analysis computer program, (eg,Kay,Praat)

lingWAVES norms are

• Jitter - normal voice below 0.5%

Dokument: 15779_WEVOSYlingWAVES_2013_08_01_10_17_16.docx



Shimmer - normal voice below 5%

See below:

Specifications of the lingWAVES Jitter/Shimmer calculation: 100 successive period (PPQ, EPQ with K = 3) with 25 periods offset Short: 100 periods, PPQ, EPQ with K = 3, 25 periods window offset

The algorithm is based on the work and evaluation of the Göttingen Hoarseness Diagram with 1,500 patient records with different degrees of dysphonia. The algorithm is optimized for clinical assessment. Norms: jitter - normal voice below 0.5%, shimmer - normal voice below 5% Note that norms for jitter and shimmer may be unspecific for normal and pathological voices (see articles below and others). We recommend the use of irregularity and GNE noise because of the multidimensional and perception related (roughness, breathiness) measurement. Irregularity and noise have a scale from 0 - 3. Normal voices are between 0-1, mild to moderate degree of roughness/breathiness 1-2 and severe degree of roughness/breathiness 2-3.

Fröhlich M, Michaelis D, Strube HW, Kruse E., Acoustic voice analysis by means of the hoarseness diagram., J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2000 Jun;43(3):706-20.

Article on the Göttingen Hoarseness Diagram http://www.wevosys.com/knowledge/_data_knowledge/106.pdf

Dirk Michaelis, Matthias Fröhlich, and Hans Werner Strube (2000-04-01): Acoustic voice analysis by means of the hoarseness diagram.

JSLHR 43, pp.706-720 (2000), Drittes Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, Germany

Articles on GNE - See the Acoustica article (attached) and Glottal to Noise Excitation ratio http://www.wevosys.com/knowledge/_data_knowledge/107.pdf

Dirk Michaelis, Matthias Fröhlich, and Hans Werner Strube (1998-04-01): Selection and combination of acoustic features for the description of pathologic voices.

JSLHR 43, pp.706-720 (2000), Drittes Physikalisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, Germany

Pitch Range : I use the norms recommended by Jenni Oates taken form Laver (1980) - these figures are also useful to see evidence of improvement in Pitch Range in HZ and Semitones (HT)

Screen 3: DSI (Dysphonia Severity Index)

The DSI in the Voice Cinic Suite Pro is a reliable measure of severity as it takes pitch range, dynamic range in SPL (absolute dB) and Max phonation time as well as quality measures into its calculation. It is a reliable outcome measure.

Interpretation of the DSI score

The Dysphonia Severity Index (DSI) is designed by Marc S. De Bodt and Floris L. Wuyts -University of Antwerp as an objective and quantitative correlate of voice quality. It is based on a multivariate analysis of an extended database of more than 1000 normal and pathologic voices for the multi-center study trial initiated by the Belgian Study Group on Voice Disorders (Van de Heyning, et al., 1996).

It combines several voice measures into one single measure is a calculation of a weighted combination of four voice characteristics: maximum phonation time (MPT, sec.) highest frequency (Fo-high, Hz), lowest intensity (I-low, dB(A)) and jitter (%). The calculated weights are given in the following formula:

DSI = 0.13*MPT + 0.0053*Fo-high - 0.26*I-low -1.18*jitter +12.4

It is a continuous measure +5 for normal voice and -5 for severe dysphonia. Excellent voices can have higher scores.

According to a clinical survey, the voice quality as perceived by athe clinician correlates (0.75) with the calculated DSI level. The DSI is reported to be very sensitive to the slightest changes in voice quality.

Using the DSI index, voices can be classified into 6 groups of DSI - related impairment level (0 to 5).

The table below shows the impairment groupings using lingWAVES DSI scores (note that these figures are a little different to the original article published by M de Bodt and F Wurtz who used an older jitter algorithm from a Kay Elemetrics system)

Impairment Group Level DSI range Description of Impairment Level

Dokument: 15779_WEVOSYLINGWAVES_2013_08_01_10_17_16.DOCX

WEVOSYS

- 0 < 2.0 Severe persisting aphonia: patient has no voice, is unable to phonate
- 1 -1.9 to +0.3 Constant dysphonia: Sporadic periods of phonation, aphonic periods may be present
- 2 +0.4 to +2.2 Moderate dysphonia: patient can produce voice, but there are frequent periods of dysphonia
- 3 +2.3 to +3.3 Slight to moderate dysphonia: less frequent periods of disturbed phonation or slight persisting dysphonia
- 4 +3.4 to +4.3 Slight dysphonia: sporadic dysphonic moments for short periods
- 5 > 4.4 No dysphonia

Screen 4: Spoken Text Analysis - Pitch and SPL range in running speech

This screen is an adaptation of the Phonetogram or Voice Range Profile from the lingWAVES Voice Diagnostic Centre (also Voice Clinic Suite and Voice Clinic Suite Pro)

These use the Union of European Phoniatricians (UEP) norm for Standardizing Voice Area Measurement/Phonetography

Norm criteria:

- 30 cm distance to microphone
- Loudness measurement in db(A) with normed sound level meter, A-weighting (most available systems don't meet criteria because they use calibrated or not calibrated computer sound cards for loudness calculation
- Quite room (below 40 dB(A))

The UEP norm is from 1982 and at this time it was published in German:

Seidner, W. Schutte H.I.K. 1982 Empfehlung der UEP: Standardisierung, Stimmfeldmessung / Phonetographie. In: HNO-Praxis 7, S. 305-307

But there is also an English article:

Schutte H.K. • Seidner W., Recommendation by the Union of European Phoniatricians (UEP): Standardizing Voice Area Measurement/Phonetography, Phoniatric/Logopedic Department, Ear, Nose and Throat Clinic (Dir.: Prof. P.E. Hoeksema), University Hospital Groningen, The Netherlands; Ear, Nose and Throat Clinic (Dir.: Prof. H.-J. Gerhardt), Faculty of Medicine (Charité), Humboldt University, Berlin, GDR

http://www.karger.com/Article/Abstract/265703

As I mentioned earlier, on the Spoken Text Screen red represents how frequently they are recorded at that frequency and loudness. Red is more often and green is less often. This helps you to see at a glance their usual pitch and loudness in running speech.

Figures you get are accurate measures of SPL dB loudness and frequency in running speech.

You can interpret these yourself - look for improvements in pitch range and loudness in your clients, but I don't think there is any research on what is considered normal intonation in running speech for Australians - perhaps because this is the first time this equipment has been made available. Great research opportunity!